

Estimate of the visual scanning efficiency

Reinhard Schwienhorst
University of Minnesota

Short report
E872 phone meeting, 7/13/99

Outline

- Problem
- Method
 - ν_μ CC event extraction
- Result
 - event selection for period 4
 - number of events for all periods
- Plots
 - Number of events per module in period 4
 - Visual scanning efficiency
- Conclusions

Two Problems

- The visual scanning efficiency is not known
- One proposal is to use the MC to obtain the efficiency
 - takes a lot of work
 - how do we simulate background and noise?
- We need a good, clean ν_μ CC sample
 - neutrino beam composition, energy
 - in my thesis:
 - flux normalization
 - check cuts

ν_μ CC event extraction

- Muons are “easy” to find in the nustrip files
 - muon ID
 - one track with >3 MID hits and no other track with >2 MID hits
 - vertex location cut by track projection
 - $|U_{\text{track}}| < 0.4\text{m}$, $|V_{\text{track}}| < 0.4\text{m}$
 - manual refitting to find the vertex
 - remove events without a vertex (about 50%)
 - precise vertex location cut
 - $|U_{\text{vertex}}| < 0.24\text{m}$, $|V_{\text{vertex}}| < 0.24\text{m}$
- Apply the same method to cat3 files
- MC result: this method finds 50% of all ν_μ CC events


Result (period 4)

# of events	Cat 3	nustrip
Nustrip files	100000	100000
Visual scanning	407	
Muon selection	101	525
Refit selection	101	238
Vtx cut	72	187


Result (by period)

period	# of events in cat 3 files	# of events in nustrip files
1	14	(not all available)
2	17	29
3	66	(not all available)
3 (runs 3137-3202)	32	59
4	72	187

of period 4 events by module



Visual Scanning Efficiency



Conclusions

- The visual scanning efficiency is around 55% for events with a muon
- The number of ν_μ CC events has been tripled
 - doubled if module 1 is not considered
- Some of the nustrip files are missing
 - period 1, beginning of period 3